

Why Doctors Do Not Take Chemo

Published by [XR500Final](#)

The great lack of trust is evident even amongst doctors. Polls and questionnaires show that three doctors out of four (75 per cent) would refuse any chemotherapy because of its ineffectiveness against the disease and its devastating effects on the entire human organism. This is what many doctors and scientists have to say about chemotherapy:

Here are some excellent references and quotes

More info:

Published by: [XR500Final](#) on Apr 11, 2009

Copyright: Attribution Non-commercial

75% of doctors themselves have been shown in studies to REFUSE standard Chemo Therapy. Chemo has 1000's of papers written on it. That is odd don't you think?

Why do we as a society solely pin our hopes on a for profit industry that doesn't mind profiting off our sickness and our death as in Chemotherapy, and is the ONLY ones producing the scientific studies?

Can I ask why we then trust them when they would be out of business if they ever found a low cost cure for anything?

The great lack of trust is evident even amongst doctors. Polls and questionnaires show that three doctors out of four (75per cent) would refuse any chemotherapy because of its ineffectiveness against the disease and its devastating effects on the entire human organism.

This is what many doctors and scientists have to say about chemotherapy: "The majority of the cancer patients in this country die because of chemotherapy, which does not cure breast, colon or lung cancer. This has been documented for over a decade and nevertheless doctors still utilize chemotherapy to fight these tumors." (Allen Levin, MD, UCSF, "The Healing of Cancer", Marcus Books, 1990).

"If I were to contract cancer, I would never turn to a certain standard for the therapy of this disease. Cancer patients who stay away from these centers have some chance to make it." (Prof. Gorge Mathe, "Scientific Medicine Stymied", Medicines Nouvelles, Paris, 1989)

"Dr. Hardin Jones, lecturer at the University of California, after having analyzed for many decades statistics on cancer survival, has come to this conclusion: '... when not treated, the patients do not get worse or they even get better'. The unsettling conclusions of Dr. Jones have never been refuted". (Walter Last, "The Ecologist", Vol. 28, no. 2, March-April1998)

"Many oncologists recommend chemotherapy for almost any type of cancer, with a faith that is unshaken by the almost constant failures".(Albert Braverman, MD, "Medical Oncology in the 90s", Lancet, 1991, Vol. 337, p. 901)

"Our most efficacious regimens are loaded with risks, side effects and practical problems; and after all the patients we have treated have paid the toll, only a miniscule percentage of them is paid off with an ephemeral period of tumoral regression and generally a partial one" (Edward G. Griffin "World Without Cancer", American Media Publications, 1996)

"After all, and for the overwhelming majority of the cases, there is no proof whatsoever that chemotherapy prolongs survival expectations. And this is the great lie about this therapy, that there is a correlation between the reduction of cancer and the extension of the life of the patient". (Philip Day, "Cancer: Why we're still dying to know the truth", CredencePublications, 2000)

“Several full-time scientists at the McGill Cancer Center sent to 118 doctors, all experts on lung cancer, a questionnaire to determine the level of trust they had in the therapies they were applying; they were asked to imagine that they themselves had contracted the disease and which of the six current experimental therapies they would choose. 79 doctors answered, 64 of them said that they would not consent to undergo any treatment containing cis-platinum – one of the common chemotherapy drugs they used – while 58 out of 79 believed that all the experimental therapies above were not accepted because of the ineffectiveness and the elevated level of toxicity of chemotherapy.” (Philip Day, “Cancer: Why we’re still dying to know the truth”, Credence Publications, 2000)

“Doctor Ulrich Able, a German epidemiologist of the Heidelberg Mannheim Tumor Clinic, has exhaustively analyzed and reviewed all the main studies and clinical experiments ever performed on chemotherapy Able discovered that the comprehensive world rate of positive outcomes because of chemotherapy was frightening, because, simply, nowhere was scientific evidence available demonstrating that chemotherapy is able to ‘prolong in any appreciable way the life of patients affected by the most common type of organ cancer.’

Able highlights that rarely can chemotherapy improve the quality of life, and he describes it as a scientific squalor while maintaining that at least 80 per cent of chemotherapy administered in the world is worthless.

Even if there is no scientific proof whatsoever that chemotherapy works, neither doctors nor patients are prepared to give it up (Lancet, Aug. 10, 1991).

None of the main media has ever mentioned this exhaustive study: it has been completely buried” (Tim O’Shea, “Chemotherapy – An Unproven Procedure”)

“According to medical associations, the notorious and dangerous side effects of drugs have become the fourth main cause of death after infarction, cancer, and apoplexy” (Journal of the American Medical Association, April 15, 1998)

Free Online Video Resources

Big Bucks / Big Pharma :
<http://tinyurl.com/cudz6a>

A World Without Cancer :
<http://tinyurl.com/djp6wq>

Food From the Matrix :
<http://tinyurl.com/ceuxna>